## Grade12 Mathematical Literacy: Memorandum Paper 2

103

1.1	R4 500 + R7 985,13 = R12 485,13 • • •	3		Take home salary:	
1.2	Monthly salary : $R97 575,00 \div 12$			= R102 453,75 - (R11 413,44 + R1)	
	$= R8 131,25 \checkmark \checkmark$			024,54)	
	UIF = 1% of R8 131,25			= R90 015, 78  per year	
	$= R81,31 \checkmark \checkmark$			$=$ R90 015, 78 $\div$ 12 per month	
	OR		1.0	$= R7501,31 \checkmark$	
	Yearly UIF: 1% of R97 575,00		1.9	% increase in take home salary:	
	$= R9/5, 75 \checkmark \checkmark$			$\frac{7501.31-7009.51}{7000.51}$ as a % $\checkmark$	
	Monthly UIF = $R9/5$ , $75 \div 12$			- 7 020/	
1.2	= R81,31 V V	4		= 7,02%	
1.3	$= R1 \ 040,43 \checkmark$			% tax in $2006 = \frac{12405.15}{97575}$ as a %	
	Take home salary:			= 12,8% •	
	$= R8 \ 131,25 - (R1 \ 040,43 + \ R81,31) \checkmark$			$\%$ tax in 2007 = $\frac{11 413.44}{102.452.75}$ as a %	
	= R7 009,51 ✓	3		102 453.75 45 4 70	
1.4	Jan 2006 Food:			=11,1%	
	26,5% × R7 009,51 ✓			Luca's tax decreased by 1,7% from 2006	
	= R1 858 ✓			to 2007 This meant that besides the	
	Jan 2006 Clothing etc:			increase in gross salary of 5% she was	
	5% × R7 009,51 ✓			that her take home salary was more than	
	$=$ R350 $\checkmark$			that her take nome salary was more than $5\%$	8
	Jan 2006 Housing etc:		1 10	Anticipated expenses = $\mathbf{R} = 7 \cdot 583 4$	0
	18,9% × R7 009,51 ✓		1.10	Luca will be earning $R750131$ which	
	$=$ R1 325 $\checkmark$			falls short by about R50. $\checkmark$ This means	
	Jan 2006 Transport: $0.70/ \times P7.000.51$			that she will have to look at ways to cut	
	$9,7\% \times R7009,31 \checkmark$	0		down on her expenses if she does not	
	- K080 V	0		want to overspend.	3
15	a Jan 2007 Food:		2.1	$2005: \approx 19\%$	
1.5	$(100 + 9.3)\% \times R1.858$			2006: ≈ 18% ✓	
	$= R^2 0.31 \checkmark$			2007: ≈ 16% <b>✓</b>	
	b Jan 2007 Clothing etc:			2008: ≈ 15% <b>✓</b>	4
	$(100 - 10.9)\% \times R350 \checkmark$		2.2	$2005:\approx R230\ 000 \checkmark$	
	$= R312 \checkmark$			$2006: \approx R260\ 000 \checkmark$	
	c Jan 2007 Housing etc:			$2007: \approx R330\ 000 \checkmark$	
	(100 + 9,2)% × R1 325 ✓			$2008:\approx R370\ 000\checkmark$	4
	$=$ R1 447 $\checkmark$		2.3	Effective interest rate = $10\%$ $\checkmark$	
	d Jan 2007 Transport:			$10\%$ of R100 000 = R10 000 $\checkmark$	2
	$(100 + 6,8)\% \times R680 \checkmark$		2.4	The effective interest rate has decreased	
	= R726 ✓			over the period 2005 to 2008. $\checkmark$ This is	
	e Total = R 7 583 $\checkmark$	10		seen by the graph of 2006 being below the	
1.6	Total expenditure for January 2007:			2005 graphs. It can also be seen in the	
	= R7 541 ✓			answers to 2.1 and 2.2.4.4.4	1
	% change = $\frac{7538 - 7003}{7002}$ as a % $\checkmark$		3 1	This means that $75\%$ of the class scored a	4
	7 (40/	4	5.1	lower mark than he did and 25% of the	
1 7	= 7.64%	4		class scored a mark higher than he did	
1./	Gross salary after increase: $(100 \pm 5)\% \times \mathbf{P}07.575.00 + 4$			✓ ✓	2
	$(100 + 3)\% \times \text{K97} 373,00 \lor \lor$	2	3.2	Total number of participants = 20. $\checkmark$	
1 0	$- R102433,75 \checkmark$	3		Each substitution $\frac{1}{1}$ (20) 5 (1)	
1.0	= 18000 + 25% of (P102 453 75 - P100			Each quartile has $\frac{1}{4}$ of $20 = 5$ participants.	
	10000 + 257001 (R102435,75 - R100 - 000) - R7200 + 4400 - R7200 + R7200 + 4400 - R7200 - R7200 + 4400 - R7200 + 4400000 - R7200 + 4400 - R7200 + 4400 - R7			$\therefore$ 88 falls in the fourth quartile. $\checkmark$	2
	= R11 413 44  per vear		3.3.1	75 percentile 🗸 🗸	2
	itti +15,++ poi youi ♥		3.3.2	17,2 • •	2
	2007 LUE:		3.3.3	13,2 < BMI < 19,4 ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	4
	= 1%  of  R102.453.75				
	= R1 024.54 per vear	7			
		<i>'</i>			

Mathematical Literacy(NCS)/Grade12/P2 MEMORANDUM

3.4.1	BMI = $\frac{30}{1.2^2}$ = 20,8 $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$		5.1	From Friday 18:10 to Saturday 06:40 by	
	Falls above the 95 percentile and is			train which is $17\frac{1}{2}$ hours. $\checkmark$	
	therefore overweight. • •	5		It takes $\approx 1$ hour by taxi	
3.4.2	BMI = 20,6 ✓ ✓			$\therefore$ trip takes approximately $18\frac{1}{2}$ hours.	-
	$20,6 = \frac{W}{1.65^2} \checkmark$		5.2		2
	$20.6 \times 1.65^2 = w$		53	Moz Airlines: Cost R1 485 and time 1	1
	$w = 56 \text{ kg} \checkmark$	5	0.0	hour ✓	
4.1.1	$5+6+9+10+8+5 \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$			SAA: Cost R1 450 and time 1 hour and 5	
	=43% of fatalities occur between 17h00			minutes 🗸	
4.1.0	and 22h00 •	4		Bus: Cost R220 and time $10\frac{1}{2}$ hours $\checkmark$	
4.1.2	There would be many more cars on the			1	
	work and school at this time of the day			Train and taxi: Cost R80 and time $18\frac{1}{2}$	
	<ul> <li>v v v</li> </ul>	3		hours. 🗸	
4.1.3	Between 17h00 and 22h00 ✓ ✓			The least expensive option takes the most	
	The graph shows a peak between those			time to get there and you travel through	
421	times. $\checkmark$	4		the night. It also means a change of transport along the way which is	
4.2.1	(a) 9 981 in 40 400 000 $\checkmark$	2		inconvenient.	
7.2.2	∴ 9 981 ÷ 4 04 ✓			The two most expensive options (flying)	
	= 24,71 per 100 000. ✓ ✓			take the least time to get there and is the	
				most convenient as Luka would get a	
	(b) 10 523 in 42 640 000. ✓		5 1	good night's sleep at home. ✓	6
	$\therefore 10\ 523 \div 4\ 26,4 \checkmark$	0	5.4 5.5	Take Mozambique airlines departing at	0
431	$-24,08$ per 100 000. $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ 10 523 - 9 981 = 542 $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$	0	5.5	19:10 on Friday night and arriving at	
4.3.2	$12727 - 11201 = 1526 \checkmark \checkmark$	4		20:10. • •	
4.4.1	24,68 - 24,71 = -3,03 ✓ ✓			Spend Friday and Saturday night in	
4.4.2	27,32 - 25,31 = 2,01 ✓ ✓	4		Mozambique. (2 nights) 🗸	
4.5	The Minister would use the graph of			Return by bus on Sunday departing at	
	fatalities per 100 000 as it shows a steady			19h00 and arriving at 03h55. V V	
	decline in fatalities per 100 000 from 1990			and still have ber at work on time on	
	rate of increase in fatalities per 100 000 It			Monday morning.	
	shows that even though the number of			$Costs = R1 \ 485 + R220 \checkmark \checkmark$	
	actual deaths has increased, the ratio of			= R1 705 which is within her budget. $\checkmark$	9
	deaths to population size has				
	decreased. V V				
	Somebody trying to contradict the				
	graph as it shows a steady increase in				
	fatalities since 1993. It does not however				
	indicate how the population has increased.				
		6			
4.6	Fatalities per 100 000. This statistic gives				
	you a ratio of deaths per 100 000 of the				
	of the likelihood of you dving in a car				
	crash no matter how large or small the				
	population is. If you are only given the				
	actual fatalities you are unable to compare				
	it with the population size. A large				
	number of tatalities could be a small				
	could be a big percentage of a small				
	population. It does not give vou an idea of				
	the risk factor. $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$	4			

